

Speech Construction

Introduction: Grabs attention- something different (15-20 s)

But relates back to speech- think, what is my point?

Eg: Pakistan's government doesn't work the same way ours does

- 1) Relative information
- 2) Tie it back in

Overlying Thesis: P/F Bill

"I ask you to stand with me today on" must be emotional and have a basis

2 good arguments: (15 sec- 2 min 45 sec)

1) Claim (1 sent)-Argument and Thesis

What positive or negative will come out of P/F

"The first reason we must affirm/negate this legislation is because ____"

2) Warrant (1 sent)-why your argument is true

Why the positive or negative will actually happen

"This is true because ____"

Simple and to the point

Refutation

3) Data

Research proof

"According to" "As noted by", source, date

**Date should be within 90 days; hot topics should be very recent within a few days

**Published in more than 10 places, most likely don't need to cite it

**People sources should be credentialed

Add sentence of relating back to Warrant if needed

4) Impact- explains why all of the above matter

Why we care/the importance of your claim. Encompass a link that affects broad group of

people. Break down the argument to the level of the people.

"This is important because ____"

The impact is never assumed!

Transition: Take 2 or 3 steps

"1st Reason we must __ this legislation"

"2nd Reason we must __ this legislation"

Conclusion: (at 2:45 go into the conclusion)

Rhetoric + clear thoughts that wrap up your key point

Last thing you say is the most important!

Continuation of impact

"Because we don't want __ and ___..."

Don't speak against the gavel

Work from the notes, don't write down the speeches word for word

Do not do 2-handed clutch on notepad

Eye contact is essential

Outline your speeches

Claim, warrant, and having an impact

Flow- style

Julia's Speech - Flow

Fought for freedom, fought for liberty

When we ___, we allow them to tear away

Stand with justice and stand on the affirmation

Bill: Defronts police precincts if they are brutal

Claim: Attacks the root of the problem, which is police training

Warrant: Doesn't treat incidents on a case by case basis- but rather, the police themselves

Data: James professor of government- "Police are 2 categories. Watchmen are trained to keep the danger on the outside. A low-income are trained to see everything as a threat"

Impact: Where you live should not determine the quality of your freedom

Claim: This is the most effective and safe solution

Warrant: Takes away luxuries without removing the necessities. Federal funds only are taken away (they are used for extra stuff)

State and local funds will still exist and keep our constituents safe

Data: 70% of funding came from state and local property taxes

Impact: Today's bill does not solve one problem and create another

If you stand for the 1st amendment, freedom of speech, you will stand in affirmation of today's legislation.

Flowing: name, date, idea

Points as well as impact